Interview Summary	10/660,012	RICKETTS, JOHN ARTHUR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GEORGE PARK	3623	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>GEORGE PARK</u> .	(3) <u>STEVE WALDER</u> .		
(2) <u>JONATHAN STERRETT</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>02 April 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-24</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Engleking et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0049911 A1).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An agreement was obtained regarding the Applicant's filing of the 131 Declaration and the Terminal Disclaimer. In view of the 131 Declaration and the Terminal Disclaimer, the Engleking et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0049911 A1) prior art and double patenting rejections are overcome. An updated search will be conducted. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	
Attachment to a signed Office action.			

Application No.

Applicant(s)